



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/382,275		08/25/1999		ROBERT A. VAN TASSEL		MEDIV1120-1 5006		
37374	7590	10/07/2003				EXAMINER		
	INSKEEP & ASSOCIATES, INC. 26949 BOLAN LANE					PHAN, HIEU		
PALOS VERDES PENINSULA, CA 90			90274	90274		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•				3738		
				DA	ATE MAILED: 10/07/200	3 21		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)	Q						
Advisory Action	09/382,275	VAN TASSEL ET A	<u>L.</u>						
navicely near.	Examiner	Art Unit							
	Hieu Phan	3738							
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the	corresp ndenc add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.									
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.									
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:								
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);									
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) 🛮 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.									
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .									
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection.									
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:								
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,30,43-45,58-64 and 73-75.									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3-29,31-42	2 <u>,46-57,65-72 and 76-81</u> .	,							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	s a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exar	niner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·							
10. ☐ Other:)								
CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700									

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01) Consinuation Sh t (PTOL-303) 09/382,275



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: New limitations "uniformally along" and "entire" in claims 1 and 58 raise new issue that would require a further search and consideration .